DISTRICT COURT, COUNTY OF ELBERT, COLORADO 1 Elbert County District Court 2 751 Ute Avenue, PO Box 232 Kiowa, Colorado 80117 3 ^COURT USE ONLY^ 4 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, 5 б CASE NO. 08CR50 v. 7 Division 1 8 WARREN BLAKE PILGREEN, 9 Defendant. 10 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 11 12 13 APPEARANCES: 14 For the People: LARRY L. BAILEY, ESQ., #30605 15 JASON SIERS, ESQ., #28835 16 For the Defendant: TERRY O'MALLEY, ESQ., #20931 17 THE DEFENDANT APPEARS IN PERSON ON BOND. 18 This matter comes on for continued jury trial 19 before the HONORABLE JEFFREY K. HOLMES, Judge of the 20 21 District Court, on Wednesday, April 7, 2010. 22 This is a partial transcript of the proceedings 23 had in the case on that date and at that time. 24 25

1	I N D E X	
2	APRIL 7, 2010	PAGE
3	WITNESS:	
4	On Behalf of the People:	
5	SUVI MILLER	
6	By Mr. Siers	3
7	By Mr. O'Malley	40
8	By Mr. Siers	44
9		
10		
11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	49
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings are had and entered 2 of record on Wednesday, April 7, 2010, and transribed 3 partially as requested: 4 THE COURT: Your next witness, please. 5 People call Suvi Miller. MR. SIERS: б SUVI MILLER, 7 called as a witness on behalf of the People, being first duly sworn to tell the truth, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. SIERS: 10 11 0 Good morning. 12 Α Good morning. 13 Could you state your full name and spell your 0 14 last name for the record? 15 А My name is Suvi, S U V I, last name Miller, M I 16 LLER. 17 Ms. Miller, what do you do for a living? Q I am a licensed clinical social worker. 18 А What does that mean? 19 Q In the area that I practice it means I am a 20 А clinical therapist. I work with clients to do therapy 21 22 with them; children, adolescents, families, and adults. 23 You said you were licensed? 0 24 Α Yes. 25 0 Who are you licensed by?

I'm licensed by the State of Colorado. Α When did you obtain your license? 0 T was a licensed clinical social worker in New Α York starting in 1992, and then in Colorado since 1995. Let's jump back and talk about your degrees. 0 What degrees do you hold? Α I have an undergraduate degree in speech communications from Syracuse University, and then I obtained by my Master's degree in social work from New York University in 1992. 0 You indicated that you are licensed both in New York and Colorado? I was for a period of time, but now I am А licensed only in Colorado. Q In terms of your practice now, do you actually maintain an active patient list? Yes, I do. Α Do you specialize in any type of area? 0 Α Yes. My specialization is in work with children and adults who have been victims of trauma; more specifically, sexual abuse, some domestic violence. have done other kinds of trauma work as well. Over the years do you know how many children you 0

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 have worked with that have been the victim of sexual 24 25 abuse?

4

Ι

A I don't have an exact number, but the number that I would give would be upwards of 300 that I have worked with individually myself in my 18-plus years of practice. I also supervised for a period of time other therapists who did the same work, so I worked with a number of other cases in terms of consultation.

Q Understanding your work with these types of patients, do you have other experience in the field of sexual assaults on children?

10 А Well, I have worked in the field since my 11 graduation date primary with children, adolescents, and 12 families, and a significant portion of that was with 13 children who were victims of trauma, specifically, sexual 14 In Denver, I had worked with an organization abuse. 15 called the Denver Children's Advocacy Center for approximately nine and a half years as a therapist and as 16 a clinical supervisor, and that organization does 17 assessments and also treatment of children who are victims 18 19 of trauma, mostly sexual abuse. I have also done 20 trainings in that area both -- I have attended trainings 21 and have presented on treatment issues, and also, on issues related to children's disclosure of sexual abuse. 22 23 Do you know how many trainings you have given in 0 24 that field or that area?

25 A In that general area, I don't have a specific

1 number. I would say five.

2 Do you know who you presented to? 0 3 The last presentation that I did in that area Α was at the Colorado Organization of Victims Assistance. 4 It's a statewide conference that takes place in Keystone 5 б every year, and I did a workshop there on the disclosure 7 of sexual abuse in children. Do you have any other education specific to 8 Q 9 sexual assault on children that you haven't discussed? 10 Α I don't believe so. 11 0 Have you published in this field? I have not published, no. 12 А Is there a reason for that? 13 Ο 14 А I am not a researcher. My work has been 15 primarily in the area of practice, not research. 16 Do you regularly review research and literature 0 in the field specifically with relation to sexual assaults 17 on children? 18 19 Α Yes, I do. 20 Q What type of materials do you review? Well, because of the work that I have done over 21 Α the years I have tried to stay abreast of textbooks and 22 23 other kinds of materials, including journal articles, 24 research around how children respond to sexual abuse, what 25 we see in terms of disclosure, how it impacts them, all of 1 those kinds of things. So journal publications that are 2 peer reviewed, textbooks that are also reviewed, as well 3 as attending workshops in the area.

4 Q Have you previously testified as an expert in 5 the field of sexual assaults on children and disclosures 6 related to that?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Do you know how many times?

9 A I believe the number is 49.

10 Q And out of those 49 times, do you know who you 11 have testified for?

- 12 A The counties?
- 13 Q Yes.

A Denver County, Larimer County, Jefferson County,
Douglas County -- and I'm missing one -- Arapahoe County.
As well as I testified in a trial in a county in
Wyoming.

18 Q Has it always been in criminal cases or in other 19 types of cases?

20 A Criminal cases around sexual assaults.

21 Q And has it always been for the prosecution?22 A It has.

23 Q Has the defense ever asked you to testify for 24 them?

25 A No, the defense has never asked me to testify

1 for them.

2 Have you ever been contacted by the defense and 0 asked to review materials for them? 3 4 No, I have not. Α MR. SIERS: Judge, at this time I would offer 5 б Ms. Miller as an expert in the field of child sexual abuse 7 and child sexual abuse with regard to disclosure 8 patterns. 9 THE COURT: Mr. O'Malley. 10 MR. O'MALLEY: No objection, Judge. 11 THE COURT: The Court will qualify Ms. Miller as 12 an expert in the offered field. She may give opinion testimony within her field of expertise. 13 14 MR. SIERS: Thank you. 15 Q (BY MR. SIERS) Ma'am, in preparation for your testimony today, what materials were you given for 16 17 review? I wasn't given any materials. 18 Α 19 Q Why is that? 20 А Because when I work on these cases, my understanding of my role is to provide information that I 21 22 have or experience that I have that would reflect on that 23 to the jury around patterns that we see with children when 24 they have experienced sexual abuse. So I ask for very 25 minimal material because I am not making a statement about 1 whether or not the victim is credible. I am just

2 generally offering what I know about how children respond 3 to being sexually abused and what patterns we see with 4 that.

5 Q Just so I'm clear, then with regard to this case 6 have you read any police reports?

7 A No.

8 Q Have you watched what we've referred to as a9 Sungate videotape?

10 A No.

11 Q Have you seen any videotapes with regard to this 12 case?

13 A No.

14 Q Have you ever met Moriah Lee?

15 A No.

16 Q You indicated that you are able to talk about 17 what you've seen over the years; is that right?

A Yes, in part, my testimony is based on what I have seen in my practice, and also, what I have reviewed in the literature around these issues.

Q And you said based on your understanding and expertise in the field, are there certain patterns or certain expected responses or behaviors that you see with regard to children who suffer this type of abuse? A Yes. 1 Q Is it a simple checklist where you can say yes 2 or no with a certain child going down a certain list of 3 criteria?

4 A No.

5 Q Why is that?

A Because children respond very differently to each circumstance based on the child. So based on how well that child has coped prior to the trauma, how supportive their family is, who they are, their temperament, the extent of the abuse, all of those types of things.

Q Just so I'm clear then, again, hypothetically, say, in one case a child discloses immediately and in another case a child never discloses, does that mean just because they are completely polar opposites that one is more credible than the other -- I'm sorry, I don't mean to use the word credible -- that that impacts your opinion with regard to that child and the disclosures?

19 A No, it would not.

20 Q In fact, do we have circumstances like that?21 A Yes, we do.

Q So fair to say that there is some sort of range or continuum of behaviors that you would normally see in regard to the behaviors?

25 A Yes.

Q If you would, could you explain to the jury kind of your general understanding with regard with what you expect to see with regard to disclosure patterns when it comes to children who are the victims of sexual sassaults?

б Well, again, through my observations and what А 7 the research shows is that, depending on the child's age, depending on their relationship to the perpetrator, and 8 depending on oftentimes the length of the abuse, the 9 10 duration of the abuse, those can all play a role in 11 whether or not a child discloses or if, in fact, they ever 12 disclose. And what we see is that the majority, in fact, 13 of children do not disclose sexual abuse immediately after 14 it has happened. What we see is that a majority of 15 children actually delay, don't tell right away about what 16 has happened to them, and there can be a number of things that could impact that. 17

18 What we look at in general is there is this kind 19 of umbrella item of fear; fear of harm coming to them or 20 coming to the perpetrator either because the perpetrator 21 has told them something bad is going to happen or that they just worry that something bad will happen if they 22 23 tell. Loss of affection, that children in most cases are 24 sexually abused by someone that is known to them and that 25 the relationships often have a lot of positive elements to

them, that children can be attached to these people who 1 2 end up sexually abusing them and that even during the relationship when the sexual abuse might be happening, 3 there can be positive things going on. This person is 4 nice to them or supportive of them or takes them places or 5 б is someone who is kind to them in general and that 7 children worry that if they tell about what's happening that this person might not like them or love them anymore 8 and this can be a tremendous factor for a number of 9 10 children who worry about telling.

11 We also see children worrying about negative 12 reactions from other people if they tell; other people are going to be mad at me, other people are going to be upset, 13 14 maybe there could be consequences like, you know, certain 15 people aren't going to talk to me anymore or I won't get 16 to see people anymore, certain individuals aren't going to like me, and these can play a significant role, again, 17 18 depending on this child and what they understand of what 19 they think might happen if they tell. And what we see 20 across the board with children is that when sexual abuse has happened, they feel a tremendous amount of shame 21 around what has happened. Even with young children where 22 23 we think, well, maybe they wouldn't understand what this 24 really is, even if they don't have a context for sex and 25 sexuality, that they understand something about this isn't

okay, that it's wrong, that it's dirty, that it's nasty --1 any of those kind of words that kids use -- and so they 2 feel ashamed of what has happened and we also see them 3 feeling guilty or taking responsibility for some measure 4 of it -- I must have done something to make this happen; I 5 б should have told right away; since I didn't, it happened 7 again; something about what I'm doing is making this happen -- and that can play a very significant role 8 because the child thinks somehow they have some 9 10 responsibility for it and they feel like telling somehow 11 is, they are going to have to tell about their role in 12 that as well.

13 And then finally, we see children having a 14 tremendous fear of not being believed. That even if there 15 hasn't been a pattern where they haven't always been believed in the past that we see children saying, well, I 16 just didn't think anybody would believe me about this, I 17 18 just didn't think anybody would think this person would do 19 something like this. So we often hear from kids that one of the reasons I didn't tell is I just didn't think 20 21 anybody would believe me.

So a number of those factors certainly come into play for children and any and all of them can be part of why they might not tell right away.

25 Q In the beginning of your answer you indicated

1 that age, relationship, and length of abuse can influence 2 whether there is reporting by children. How do those 3 three factors -- age, relationship, and length of 4 disclosure -- what do you expect to see with regard to or 5 how do those dynamics play out?

б Well, with age, we see with very young children, Α 7 say, a three-year-old maybe to a four-year-old, that those children we see a higher incident of what we call 8 accidental disclosure. A child tells about what has 9 10 happened, not really realizing that they are telling or 11 not intending to tell, if you will. They just tell tell 12 the story. Uncle Johnny and I played this game and he 13 touched me and he touched me in my private parts. So we 14 would call that an accidental disclosure. But we see even 15 with children as young as four and five that they are delaying in the disclosure, making a decision not to share 16 that information. And then all the way up through school 17 age, even again when we see children who really may not 18 19 have an understanding, they haven't gone through puberty 20 yet, they don't necessarily have a full understanding of sex or sexuality, there is a sense of I don't want to tell 21 anybody about this because this shouldn't happen or 22 23 there's something about this that is wrong. So we see 24 that playing a role.

And then with adolescents, a different set of

25

circumstances in that they do start to develop an 1 understanding of sex and sexuality, and a different 2 understanding of what this means, that this happened to me 3 4 or that it is happening to me. So age can definitely play a role. Relationship to the perpetrator, as I said 5 б before, that the perpetrators are often known to the child 7 and who is this person to this child and their family and their community. Oftentimes, it's someone that is well 8 liked by other people, respected by other adults, has a 9 10 strong connection to the child and/or to the family, and 11 that can play a significant role in, again, children's willingness to talk about what has happened or to try to 12 13 worry that they are going to get this person in trouble.

14 And finally, the issue related to duration what 15 we see is that children who have been abused multiple 16 times, sometimes over years, may be much less likely to disclose sooner rather than later or never disclose 17 because as the abuse goes on, it becomes more and more 18 19 difficult for them they feel to talk about what has 20 happened, again, related to this sense of responsibility 21 that they may feel.

Q And just so I understand with regards to that last in terms of duration, the longer the duration, the more you expect to see a delayed report; is that what you're saying?

A We see with a single incident, again, a child could easily not tell anybody about a single incident. But we see sooner disclosures in terms of what the research shows if there's a single incident. We see more delays with multiple incidents, but again, it's on a continuum.

Q I think that there's a belief in our society that usually these things occur with the dirty old man who is a stranger; is that what you've seen over the course of your experience?

11 No. What we know if that, again, the А significant majority of sexual abuse occurs between a 12 13 child and someone known to them; oftentimes, someone well 14 known to them. And that person is a trusted adult, rather 15 than a stranger; and again, often has a positive relationship with this person which is a strong reason of 16 why it makes it more complicated and harder for children 17 18 to talk about what has happened to them.

19 Q When it comes to children is there, have you 20 noticed any patterns with regard to who they disclose to, 21 and is that affected by age?

A It definitely can be affected by age. What we see is with younger children -- of course, very young children -- three, four, five -- are very dependent upon the adults around them, and obviously, would then look to

an adult to help them if they felt like they were in 1 2 trouble, but we also see that with school age children. There's a little bit of a mix in school age children in 3 that the majority of the time we see that they will tell a 4 trusted adult first about what has happened to them 5 б because, again, they rely on adults around them to help 7 them with circumstances where they are having trouble. We sometimes see them disclose to a peer first, but in most 8 9 cases, we do see them talking to a trusted adult. And 10 then with adolescents, we might see them more typically 11 talk to a peer first because of how their relationships have shifted and how they are more reliant upon their 12 13 peers before adults for help.

14 So we do see age as a factor playing a factor or 15 playing a role in to whom a child discloses. Again, the 16 biggest criteria is someone that they trust, someone that they think will believe them. So that may be an immediate 17 parent. It also might be a teacher. It might be a best 18 19 friend. It might be somebody, you know, a close aunt or 20 another trusted adult in the community because this child feels that this person is going to believe them and, in 21 general, their hope is that this person is going to help 22 23 them with what has happened, either to make it stop or to 24 help them figure out what to do next. So that piece, as 25 far as to whom they disclose, those criteria are some of

1 the bigger ones.

16

2 Q When you talk about peers, what would be a peer 3 for a child?

Well, if we're talking about a child who's, say, 4 А eight or nine years old, a peer could be a child of the 5 б same age or within a couple of years of their age. 7 Usually, if we think of an eight- or nine-year-old 8 disclosing, say, to a 16-year-old, that isn't a peer; that's a much older child. But somebody within a couple 9 10 of years age range of them, that's what we're talking 11 about.

Q Now, you've talked about peers and you've talked adult family members. How about siblings? Have you noticed any pattern with regard to disclosing to siblings?

A We certainly do see children --

MR. O'MALLEY: Judge, I'll object. This is far beyond the disclosure I've got. I don't think this is in the disclosure. So I think it's inappropriate. I haven't had an opportunity to prepare for this subject matter that has not been disclosed. I would be happy to show the Court the endorsement I've got.

23 THE COURT: Well, I think I've seen the 24 endorsement and I'll overrule the objection. You may 25 continue.

(BY MR. SIERS) Do you remember the question? 1 0 2 I do. We certainly see children disclose to Α siblings. Again, this is related to somebody that they 3 trust or that they rely on. So we see children who may 4 tell a sister or a brother, and oftentimes, in confidence, 5 б they'll say, don't tell anybody, but I have to tell you 7 about something that's happened. And oftentimes, what they are doing is hoping that this person will just 8 believe them and they can get some of this burden off of 9 10 their chest, if you will. But again, those are often 11 relationships where children have trust and feel that this person will believe them and will support them even if 12 13 it's somebody that's -- a sibling that they know is not, 14 there's not necessarily anything they can do about it. 15 And then we also see kids sometimes they like kind of 16 hoped that person would go and tell another adult. Ιt just depends, again, on the circumstances. 17

Q In terms of the behavioral response or kind of emotional response, to use that word, of a child during the disclosure process, any patterns that you see in that based on your experience?

A What we see with children in terms of their emotions or their affect when they disclose can truly be anywhere in the continuum. Sometimes children, we expect children to be very, very emotional, and sometimes they

1 are -- very scared, very tearful, very distraught.

2 Sometimes, though, they can be very matter of fact, very flat, very quiet, to sort of seem like they are not 3 particularly emotional about what they are telling. 4 And in my practice I have worked with children who when 5 б talking to me about what has happened can play while they 7 are talking about very intense material, can smile, can become sort of uncomfortable and anxious, tearful, or they 8 can become, again, sort of matter of fact presenting 9 10 information. So I think there isn't really a specific 11 emotion that we see with children across the board in how they talk about material that we think would be very, very 12 13 distressing. It depends on how they're coping. Some 14 children cope by being very quiet and very serious and 15 other children get very emotional.

Q Is there some responses you would expect not to see; in other words, you talked about continuum. Is there something outside that continuum?

A Well, I guess I could say that I think that any behavior certainly would be consistent. Certainly there may be behaviors that are very, very extreme, that you'd want to just consider in that whole process. But just because a child became hysterical or very emotional or very violent or a child became very flat or withdrawn or even laughing and running around the room, which would be sort of the counter of what we would think a child would do, I would say none of those behaviors could rule out what has happened. Again, just this piece about what is this child dealing with and how are they coping in terms of what is a very, very stressful experience talking about sexual abuse.

Q So that just so I'm clear, again, because there's no cookie cutter approach to this, is there any circumstance where you may criticize a child based on the way they are responding during a disclosure?

11 A Criticize, no. I suppose that some people might 12 question because they have ideas and expectations of how a 13 child might respond, but from what we know about children 14 and how they disclose and what I've certainly seen in my 15 practice, I don't think that a child's particular behavior 16 would by any means eliminate or invalidate their 17 disclosure.

And again, focusing on your expertise in the 18 0 19 field, you said that sometimes during disclosure children may be very emotional. Could you explain how that is? 20 21 Well, I think that we know as adults that А talking about sexual assault for adults is extremely 22 23 difficult. And if we think about being a child and being 24 much less emotionally mature and having many times less 25 developed coping skills that becoming really emotional may

just be a result of just having a really hard time talking 1 about something that is really difficult to talk about, 2 and again, children worrying about what the response of 3 the adults to whom they are giving this information is 4 going to be. Are they going to be angry at me? Are they 5 б going to be mad at me? What's going to happen next? So I 7 think children can get very emotional or not very 8 emotional just depending on what their expectations are or 9 their concerns are or who they are.

Q Then the other end of that continuum, a child that has no emotion, anything about what you just described about why they may be in that state during a disclosure?

14 I think I covered it. I think that children, А 15 again, can become very stoic and very flat when talking 16 about things that we would think would make them very emotional because they are trying to communicate the 17 18 information. And again, to whom they are giving the 19 information will have an impact upon how they feel about 20 telling the information. So a child talking to a parent may be look very different than a child talking to a 21 forensic interviewer, as an example, because that's a 22 23 known person in the first instance and an unknown person 24 in the second. So there is no real predictability about 25 what child's emotional range is going to look like in

1 terms of talking about that kind of material.

2 Q You talked about one factor that may affect the 3 way they respond is who they are talking to, the 4 audience?

5 A Yes.

Q How about time? Can time affect how a child
might react. Would time be a factor in whether a child
may respond to during the disclosure process?

9 I think it certainly could be a factor, but Α 10 again, you know, with one child if there has been maybe a 11 year has gone by since a particular incident occurred and 12 maybe they have developed more coping skills around how to 13 talk about this or maybe when they start talking about it, 14 they become very tearful and very emotional. What we see 15 sometimes with children is if they have done some 16 processing, say, through therapy and things like that, sometimes they can talk about things without becoming 17 18 extremely emotional just because they have been able to 19 develop some understanding of what has happened and how it 20 makes them feel. But again, that wouldn't necessarily be 21 the case, so I think that it certainly could play a role, if this is something that happened to me a number of years 22 23 ago, it might be easier for me to get some distance from 24 it when I talk about it emotionally. But we see children 25 who become very distraught and upset even if there has

been a couple of years or adults where this has been 30
 years ago and they become very, very emotional in talking
 about what happened to them.

Q You talked a little bit about the person who a child is speaking to and whether they are received or I think you used the word believed. Can that impact how far disclosure goes or whether there's even a continuing disclosure?

9 A Absolutely.

10 Q In what way?

11 Α As far as an example, if a child is giving 12 information to, say, a parent about something that has 13 happened and the parent becomes very, very upset, very 14 tearful, very sad, very emotional, what we'll see is that 15 sometimes children will stop talking about what has happened or give limited information because they don't 16 17 want to further distress the person they are talking to; I 18 don't want Mom to be upset, I don't want Dad to be upset, 19 I don't want this other person to be upset. Or if the 20 person becomes angry or demonstrates disbelief -- how could you say such a thing, that's a terrible thing to 21 say, that person would never do something like that, you 22 23 should never say things about so-and-so -- so that what we 24 talked about earlier, the child's fear of not being believed has now come true and that children don't then 25

insist -- no, it really happened, it really happened, you have to believe me because here they are feeling that, okay, I've made this decision to finally tell and what I feared might happen is really happening and no one is going to believe me -- so at times you may get very limited information there.

7 Finally, a child may give only part of the 8 information to begin with because it's very difficult to talk about. So we'll see children sometimes give a little 9 10 bit of information about what's happened and not what we 11 call a full disclosure, but a gradual disclosure. So more information or more detail or the extent to which the 12 13 sexual happened might come out later because the child has 14 processed it a little bit better, is talking to someone 15 where they feel more supported, that they feel that they 16 can trust the situation, and so, they feel then that they can actually talk more about what has happened rather than 17 just say, well, this person touched me and I didn't like 18 19 it, or something like that. Most of the time children are 20 telling simply because they either want to tell someone or 21 they want it to stop, but they don't generally sit down and say, let me give you the entire story of how this 22 23 happened and all the details. We often get that sometimes 24 from forensic interviewers because they ask open-ended 25 questions and they are neutral and the children may give

1 more information to this person because of how the 2 information is being received, which in general is by 3 somebody who is not becoming emotional and doesn't appear 4 to be impacted by it.

5 Q You say they may give more information. Does 6 that mean under all circumstances you would expect a 7 forensic interview to reveal everything?

8 Α No. Again, it varies. Even in my practice, I've worked with children who a year into therapy will 9 10 talk about something that has happened in the course of 11 the abuse that they haven't revealed to anyone before -to a forensic interview, to a parent -- because they feel 12 13 that they can or they remembered a specific piece or they 14 feel safe enough to talk about something that was really 15 hard for them before. So, you know, we see again children 16 giving more or less information to a number of different people, again, depending on how they are feeling at the 17 time that information is being shared. 18

Q With regard to this issue of gradual versus full disclosures, based on your experience have you seen occasions where both of those things have been occurring at the same time or close in time to each other?

A I don't think I understand the question.
Q In terms of -- let me ask it this way. Have
there been circumstances, based on your experience, where

1 there may be a full disclosure to, say, a peer or family 2 member, but then to other people outside the family only 3 gradual disclosures?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Why would that be?

б Again, because we're talking about very Α 7 difficult content. We might have a child who reveals to Mom a lot of detail about what has happened or a lot of 8 9 information. And then when asked by a professional in the 10 field, they say, oh, it really was only that he touched me 11 outside of my clothes, because in large part why they revealed the information to begin with is to make sure 12 13 that the abuse stops or to make sure someone is listening 14 to them. It isn't because they necessarily intend for a 15 criminal investigation to go forward or for this person to 16 go on trial or any of those kinds of things. So what we'll see is children may give only as much information as 17 18 they feel like they have to and that they feel that they 19 don't want to talk about all of the other yuck stuff to somebody else, so that a parent might actually get more 20 information than, say, a forensic interviewer or a 21 22 detective because of those reasons.

Q With regard to in your experience and expertise in the field, when it comes to issues of, let's just talk about gradual disclosures between different parties, do

1 you have an opinion about whether you would expect to see 2 the same details always occurring in those gradual 3 disclosures to different people?

4 What I've seen and also certainly what research Α supports is that when children talk about these traumatic 5 б incidents we might see different details at different 7 times. We might see some blending of some details that 8 the abuse incidents, unless they happen exactly the same way, exactly the same day of the week, at the exact same 9 10 time, the person is wearing the same clothes, it's in the 11 same room, everybody else is in the house and they are all in the same places that children's ability to produce 12 13 detail, as well as adults for that matter, consistently 14 with that kind of information, they may use some of that. 15 So we may get a blend, like, I thought he was wearing a 16 green sweater, but maybe that was another time he was wearing a green sweater, or I thought that my mom was at 17 18 home, but maybe she really wasn't, maybe it was one of the 19 nights she had to work.

20 So we get some of those details sometimes mixed 21 up or lost or confused over reporting to different 22 individuals or over time, but what stays consistent in 23 many circumstances, and those circumstances would be the 24 trauma itself, so I know who did these things to me. 25 Again, we may get some changing of what we call

minimizing, that it wasn't as extensive as what I said the 1 2 first time because this child feels like they don't want 3 to talk about intercourse or they don't want to talk about oral sex or they just want to talk about it was just these 4 other things that happened, I don't want to talk about 5 these other pieces, but that children's ability to б 7 remember what has happened to them in terms of the traumatic event stays consistent over time. 8

9 MR. SIERS: If I could have a moment, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Yes.

11 Q (BY MR. SIERS) I wanted to switch gears a 12 little bit and talk to you about what type of effects, 13 primarily behavioral effects do you generally see when it 14 comes to children who are the victims of sexual abuse?

15 А Well, there is no one behavior that we see in a child who's been sexually abused. In other words, just 16 because a child presents one specific behavior, we can't 17 say with certainty, oh, this child has been sexually 18 19 abused, even if it is sexual acting out, although, that's obviously a bigger red flag. So we look at a collective 20 21 of behaviors and ask for those pieces of information, certainly as a therapist, you know, what changes have you 22 23 seen, have you noticed any differences. And we do see a 24 wide spectrum of possible behaviors or children who have 25 experienced this type of trauma, which can look like

changes in sleep patterns, children who are having 1 difficulty sleeping, they are having nightmares, they are 2 having night terrors, they are bed wetting or they are 3 wetting or soiling during the day. With the children who 4 may have been previously very social becoming much more 5 б clingy, needing to have certain individuals around them, 7 not wanting to participate in activities they might have before. We see sometimes in children an increase in 8 depression, which can also look like aggression in a lot 9 10 of children, so more fighting with siblings or getting 11 into fights at school. We might see children who are just 12 much more hyper-vigilant. They need to know where people 13 They respond to noises and sounds. They become more are. 14 anxious, in general, and we may see more withdrawn 15 behavior even from family, issues relating to eating patterns -- they're eating more, they're eating less, 16 insomnia, things like that. And then, as I had mentioned, 17 18 we might see sexual acting out behavior, so children who 19 have more sexual knowledge than would be expected for 20 their age or trying to engage others in sexual behaviors. So those are some of the more extreme behaviors that we 21 might see, but we look at it from a collective standpoint 22 23 and not just one behavior.

Q Really when it comes to children who have been abused, do you expect to see impacts in every one of those

1 areas that you've described?

2 We see, someimes in some children we see Α No. multiple behavioral changes and very extreme behavioral 3 changes. With other children, we might see much more 4 subtle behavioral changes. And again, that might be for a 5 б particular child who is coping differently than another 7 child or it may be that parents aren't noticing the 8 behavior changes as much as another parent might have. There can be a number of factors. But no, we don't, we 9 10 certainly don't always see extreme behavioral changes in 11 every child, which we might expect to see, but we 12 definitely see some impact on them with that kind of 13 trauma coming out either emotionally or behaviorly.

Q You indicated that it wouldn't be uncommon to issues referred to eating, sleeping, sexual behavior, socialization. How about schooling?

17 Well, it's interesting because we sometimes see Α children who are really impacted at school in terms of 18 19 their academics. We see children who have been formerly 20 very good students and their grades fall off. But 21 sometimes, that's a respite, safe place for some children and they continue to do very, very well, despite what they 22 23 have experienced. So we see could see an impact on a 24 child in terms of what's happening at school or in terms 25 of academics, but again, not necessarily so, but it's a

1 consideration.

2 When it comes to behavioral effects -- and I'll 0 use the words, do the words of avoidance behavior or 3 triggers have any type of special meaning to you? 4 5 Α Yes. б 0 What is that? 7 MR. O'MALLEY: Your Honor, again, objection. This is totally outside disclosure. Even at paragraph 8 9 number ten, it's very clear that that's not included. 10 It's not near as specific enough as this witness is 11 testifying to. Paragraph ten is a very short disclosure regarding behavior and I think this continues to be beyond 12 13 the scope of what was disclosed. 14 THE COURT: Objection overruled. You may 15 continue. 16 In terms of what we think about a child who has Α experienced a traumatic event, avoidant behaviors would 17 18 mean that a child is trying to avoid the situation or the 19 place or the person where this traumatic incident 20 happened. So we might see a child who is avoiding putting themselves in that circumstance, even if it's not exactly 21 the same as just related. And when we talk about 22 23 triggers, what we mean in the world of therapy about that 24 is that something is triggered for an individual where 25 they have experienced something traumatic in the past. So

a child who may have been sexually abused during a 1 particular movie they were watching and then later sees a 2 movie or sees something similar to it or the same movie 3 4 may get triggered and may remember something or may become overwhelmed emotionally. That happens for children and 5 б adults. We can be triggered by all kinds of things that 7 sometimes seem very unrelated, but if we look at them a 8 little closer, we will see some similarity or crossover with the traumatic event. 9

10 Q (BY MR. SIERS) Ms. Miller, earlier you 11 indicated or you specifically referenced place and 12 persons. Would that include even discussing the 13 situation?

14 A Oh, absolutely.

15 Q And again, is that a behavior that you would 16 expect to see in all children who have been subject to 17 sexual abuse?

No, because there are a number of children who 18 А 19 don't want to talk about what has happened to them. In 20 fact, the majority of children that I work with in therapy 21 tend to not want to address those issues directly. We find lots of ways to get to that material, but it is very 22 23 difficult for them, so they will avoid talking 24 specifically about what has happened. On the other hand, 25 I have worked with some children who very poor boundaries

1 and it's what they want to talk about all the time with 2 me, with anyone who will listen, but certainly, they are 3 coping differently than a child who might want to avoid 4 talking about it either in their family or with me or in 5 other circumstances.

6 MR. SIERS: If I can have a moment, Judge.
7 THE COURT: You may.

8 Q (BY MR. SIERS) Now, earlier you indicated some 9 of the occasions you are aware of where there's been 10 ongoing abuse. So I'm trying to reconcile this avoidance 11 behavior idea with kids who sometimes put themselves back 12 in that situation. Does that happen and why does that 13 happen?

14 It absolutely does happen. I think, again, when А 15 we think about how complicated it is, if a child has been 16 sexually abused by someone know to them, by someone they 17 have a relationship with, by other circumstances being 18 very positive, that the entire contact connection 19 relationship is not solely sexual abuse, like it might be 20 with a stranger; a stranger picks you up on the street, sexually assaults you, drops you off somewhere else. 21

For children who are sexually abused by people known to them, there is a history leading up to the sexual abuse. It most often does not happen at the first contact. That history tends to be positive in many

It could be that if they have a positive 1 elements. relationship that this person gives them special 2 attention, that this person is nice to them, that this 3 person takes care of them, that this person is emotionally 4 available for them. And again, this is a family friend 5 б that they have been in contact with and have had social 7 experiences that have been very positive. And then sexual abuse happens with that as the background to this 8 incident, it becomes very difficult for a child to say, 9 10 oh, this was bad and I'm going to separate this completely 11 out from everything else that has happened.

12 So we see children in many cases putting 13 themselves back in a situation where they might be at risk 14 for the behavior happening again. I have worked with 15 children who even post-criminal trial, even having been through that entire process, will say, but I really want 16 to see this person again, I still want to be his friend, 17 18 or I still want him to be my dad because what they wanted 19 was for the sexual abuse to stop, not necessarily to not 20 have contact with that person or any other individuals 21 around them anymore.

22 So for some children they may, in fact, avoid 23 situations where they have concerns what might happen. 24 But in other circumstances, we see children placing 25 themselves back in those situations for many of those

1 reasons that I just mentioned.

Q In those types of situations, you know, and again, focusing upon your experience and expertise in the field, when it's a known person, is it generally that it just jumps into a full-blown sexual assault or is there a process based on your dealing with children?

7 Α No, in most cases, there is a process leading up to sexual contact because if, for perpetrators, one thing 8 9 that they have in common is that they don't want to get 10 caught, so part of the way that you can at least reduce 11 your risk for a child telling about what happened is if you engage this child in a relationship where you build 12 13 trust and you build positive contact, and you have a 14 relationship building up to some kind of sexual contact, 15 and oftentimes, the contact may be at least intially 16 something very, so smaller or lesser on the continuum that may be more easily confused if the child decided to tell. 17 18 So we played a tickling game and I grab you and grope you 19 and you just don't do anything and you don't tell anyone. 20 If I'm a perpetrator, that might make me think that I can 21 trust that I can maybe take it a step further next time. If you tell, then I can say, oh, we were playing a game, 22 23 it got out of hand, I apologize, it really didn't mean 24 much.

25

So leading up to sexual contact it is usually
very important to build a positive relationship because 1 2 the child then has some trust, has some ability to 3 connect, and therefore, is less likely to tell, to get you or the child, if that's what they believed, in trouble for 4 what's happening. And certainly, in some instances, 5 б perpetrators will tell children not to tell or will tell 7 them, you know, what kind of bad things are going to happen if they tell. And again, if this is someone that 8 9 you have known over some period of time and have a 10 relationship with, you are much more likely to believe 11 them than if it's a person whom you simply met that morning or the day before as to what things may happen if 12 13 you share.

So the lead up to the contact that is generally very important in terms of building a child's trust and hoping that the child won't reveal what's happened.

Q I'm going to switch gears a little bit, and if you would, do you have opinions based on, again, your experience and expertise in the field regarding memory issues and difficulties in recalling trauma by children?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Explain that to the jury.

A Well, part of what we talked about before in terms of being able to recall details, the ability to be able to give and sequence of events from beginning to end.

When we talk about general instances, general memories, we 1 think of people's ability to be able to recall them and 2 sometimes we are able to recall them sequentially. 3 Sometimes we say, Oh, no this happened first, I forgot 4 this one part. Again, depending on how recently the event 5 б happened and the circumstances around that particular 7 event, but when we think about traumatic events that sometimes children may have extraordinary difficulty 8 talking about the specifics of what has happened if it has 9 10 been traumatic to them.

11 So they may have the ability to remember and may not be able to recall it specifically. They may be trying 12 13 to work very hard to suppress it or forget it, and so 14 through time, only remember pieces of it. But certainly 15 when we look at memory as it relates to children's ability 16 to recall it, that we may see that there have been difficulties sequencing that or that they simply don't 17 18 want to talk about some of these events so they say, I 19 didn't remember it before, but here's one thing else that 20 happened. Again, we aren't always so sure if it's 21 something that they really have been withholding in hopes that there was enough information or that they had really 22 23 been trying to repress it.

24 Certainly we know that with adults we find that 25 they can have portions of traumatic events that they have

1 repressed, that they have, because they are so traumatic 2 they have, quote, unquote, forgotten about them, and 3 triggers can bring them back for them. So for children, 4 it's oftentimes just the desire to not remember or to not 5 have to talk about some of these incidents.

Q You talked about being traumatic to adults
obviously the one side. How about the way children do?
Have you ever seen a circumstance where children didn't
understand or didn't think it was a big deal?

10 Α I think what you see with children is that they, 11 again, depending upon the extent of the abuse, what is 12 remarkable is that even if a child is quote, unquote, only 13 fondled, only groped, that sometimes children will say it 14 wasn't that big of a deal, but emotionally, when we talk 15 with them it tends to be very significant. It disrupts 16 their sense of safety. It disrupts their sent of being 17 able to trust people around them and trust their own 18 bodies. So they sometimes, they say, I didn't think it 19 was that big of a deal, in part, because maybe their sense 20 of what happened just physically wasn't a big deal, there weren't lines crossed that way. But what we see is 21 psychologically that children are traumatized by some of 22 23 those lesser physical contacts and sometimes just as much 24 as a child who has been sexually assaulted in a more 25 extensive way.

2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 0 (BY MR. SIERS) Ma'am, I know you indicated that you came into this process blind and I want to make sure I 4 understand, has anybody told you what Moriah has said or 5 б how she has ever responded or reacted to the circumstances 7 of this case specifically? 8 Α No. 9 MR. SIERS: I have nothing further of this 10 witness, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. O'MALLEY: 14 Well, ma'am, did you talk to anybody from the 0 15 district attorney's office about what this case 16 involved? 17 I did talk with the district attorney's office А 18 and got some basic information, yes. 19 Q What was that basic information you got? That this was a female victim, that the 20 А perpetrator was known to the child, I believe was a 21 22 neighbor or it could be that it was a friend's father. 23 apologize. I'm not sure about that detail. That the 24 child was ten at the time the abuse occurred, and that 25 there was more than one incident of sexual abuse that

MR. SIERS: May I have a moment, Judge?

1

40

Ι

1 occurred.

2 Q Did they talk about the length of time that went 3 by between disclosures; did you learn about that?

4 A No.

Q Okay. You had a fair idea of what was going on in this case before you came in as far as age of victim, type of allegations, who the alleged perpetrator was, things like that, right?

9 A I had those pieces of information, yes. 10 Q Okay. I want to know just a little bit about 11 your level of bias. You indicated I think on direct 12 examination that you testified 49 times for the 13 government; is that right?

14 A Yes.

Q And zero times for the defense; is that right?
A Correct.

Q Okay. Ma'am, do you think that part of the reason that you don't testify for the defense is because you've never met a child that wasn't sexually abused who has come to you for any kind of treatment or any kind of a treatment setting?

A I don't know what the reasons for the defensenot asking for my testimony would be.

Q Okay. Well, that's true, isn't it, that you haven't had an occasion where a child, and you personally 1 saw them and that you'd worked with, was not abused or was
2 lying?

I would say that's true, but part of that is 3 Α also because of the fact that in my almost ten years at 4 the agency that I mentioned, those children had been 5 referred to us after a Social Services investigation б 7 during which there were allegations that were founded, 8 that the child was giving a disclosure that was credible, 9 so that my job was not to discern whether or not a child 10 was telling the truth or wasn't telling the truth or 11 perform the role of an investigator.

12 Q Well, that's never really been your role, has 13 it, in any of the work that you've done, in a truth 14 finding, fact finding process, correct?

15 A I'm not a forensic interviewer.

Q Thank you. So typically what you do when a child comes or somebody says we think this child's been abused, you just treat that child at that point as if they have been abused; is that correct?

A I wouldn't say that. I would say that I certainly, again, don't play the role of trying to investigate the case, but certainly if the child has been presenting information to me around any area, I discuss with them, you know, question that, if it seems inconsistent or doesn't make sense or seems that it needs

further questioning, I don't avoid doing that. But again,
 my job isn't to come in and give a forensic interview to a
 child, because that would be a very different role.

You say you might challenge or ask some 4 Q questions if something a child says might be inconsistent 5 or not make sense. Well, ma'am, as I listened to your б 7 testimony, it seemed to be that there was no abnormal 8 disclosure pattern. Let's just go through it a little bit. There's really no abnormal disclosure patterns for 9 10 children, are there? I mean, everything is pretty much 11 normal as far as you are concerned?

12 A I think what we see in the research and practice 13 is that children disclose in a number of different ways, 14 and certainly those disclosures can follow a full 15 continuum, yes.

16 Q Okay. And a full continuum means anything is 17 possible; isn't that true?

A Well, I think anything is possible, but I certainly think that there are more things that are consistent within a tighter continuum of instances other than anything.

Q To go more specifically, you indicated that if a child discloses all at once, that's not abnormal. You can explain that, can't you, reasons why a child would disclose all at once?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And if a child disclosed slowly, you have an 3 explanation as to why a child might disclose slowly, 4 correct?

5 A Yes.

Q And if a child discloses in parts or makes a
gradual disclosure, you would have an explanation for why
8 they did that as well, correct?

9 A I think that there tend to be explanations for 10 that. I don't know that I would have one, but yes.

11 Q Okay. You were talking about behaviors and you 12 said there was a wide spectrum of behaviors for children 13 who have been abused, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And so, pretty much again you are saying there 16 is no one behavior, so any behavior is possible, correct?

17 A I think that you wouldn't want to rule out a 18 child has been sexually abused based on the fact that they 19 didn't present a specific behavior.

20 Q Right. And so, as I indicated, all behaviors 21 are possible for children who have been victims of sexual 22 assault, correct?

23 A Yes.

Q Okay. And let's look at something you mentioned specific in behaviors as grades. You indicated that, 1 yeah, sometimes grades can be affected and sometimes they
2 are not affected; is that correct as well?

3 A Correct.

Q Okay. And then as far as avoidant behaviors,
it's possible that a child could display or exhibit
avoidant behaviors if they have been sexually assaulted,
right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q But it's also possible that a child could be 10 just the opposite and want to talk to everybody; isn't 11 that correct?

12 A It's possible.

13 Q Okay.

14 MR. O'MALLEY: Nothing else. Thank you.
15 THE COURT: Redirect examination.

16 MR. SIERS: Briefly, Judge.

17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. SIERS:

19 Q Ma'am, Counsel asked you a little bit about what 20 information was provided to you?

21 A Yes.

Q Did that information in any way affect or change your opinions? In other words, have you shaped your opinion or testimony based on the information that you were given? 1 A No.

2 Q Do you even know the extent of the sexual 3 touching that is alleged in this case?

4 A No, I do not.

5 Q And do you know any of the specifics in terms of 6 the disclosures and how many people are involved and over 7 what time period?

8 A No.

9 Q There was some discussion about testifying for 10 the government. Is the fact that you're testifying and 11 that the People have called you in some way affecting your 12 testimony or your opinions today?

13 A No.

14 Q If the defense were to contact you, would you 15 automatically tell them no?

16 A No, I would certainly talk to them, ask what 17 role I was being asked to play, and what makes sense.

Q Does it matter -- I mean, I guess the ultimate question is, does it matter who calls you up or who is paying you to testify and explain these dynamics to the jury; in other words, if the defense called you, would you change your opinions on these topics?

23 A No.

Q Are these things that you have seen in your experience and based on your expertise and are you 1 tailoring it because we have called you in this case?

A I am not tailoring it because you called me, no. Q There was a lot of discussion about that a lot of these circumstances is kind of a range or a continuum; is that in the nature of being human?

A It is and I think that because we have this variation in children, this variation in circumstance, that it's important to note that children don't always respond in one particular way. That even if we expect a child to respond in a particular way that there a number of ways that children might respond to something that could be very traumatic for them.

Q You said that they don't always respond in a specific way. In fact, I want to go to the next level. Do you expect every child to respond in the exact same way?

17 A No.

18 Q Would that be, in fact, unusual?

A If every child responded in the same way, yes.
MR. SIERS: Judge, may I have one moment,

21 please?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. SIERS: I have nothing further.

24 THE COURT: Recross-examination.

25 MR. O'MALLEY: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any questions from the jurors? (No affirmative response.) THE COURT: Thank you for your testimony. You may step down. (Witness excused from the stand.) (End of requested transcription.) \* \* \* \* \* 

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF COLORADO )
3	) ss. County of Arapahoe )
4	
5	I, VICKI LW HARTMETZ, Registered Professional
6	Reporter and Official Court Reporter for the 18th Judicial
7	District Court, within the County of Arapahoe, State of
8	Colorado, do hereby certify that I reported the above
9	proceedings in machine shorthand on Wednesday, April 7,
10	2010, and that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 through
11	49 inclusive, is true and correct to the best of my
12	knowledge and ability.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
14	20th day of June, 2010.
15	
16	VICKI LW HARTMETZ
17	FAPR, RPR, CSR-KS, CMRS, CLVS, CRI, CPE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	