



## PLEADING SERVICE REQUEST (DMV APPEAL)

To purchase a DMV Appeal Summons, Complaint and Cover Sheet for a given case, please provide the following information-

Attorney Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff's Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff's Gender: \_\_\_\_\_

DMV Order Date: \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide a copy of the Order)

County of Plaintiff's Residence: \_\_\_\_\_

DMV Case No: \_\_\_\_\_

DMV Hearing Officer on Revocation \_\_\_\_\_

DMV Hearing Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Name HO as Defendant? \_\_\_\_\_

Pleadings in PDF or Word): \_\_\_\_\_

EC Order Type (Excess Blood, Excess Breath, Refusal) \_\_\_\_\_

Subpoenas Denied? \_\_\_\_\_ HO Denying Subpoenas: \_\_\_\_\_

Fill out the Grounds to be listed on the Appeal Complaint as set forth on page 2 of this form.

If I have not previously done work for you, Please include a copy of your caption insert.

E-Mail filled out form to [vincent.todd@coloradowrits.com](mailto:vincent.todd@coloradowrits.com) with a subject line of ColoradoWrits Pleading Assistance Request

Submission of this form is an agreement to the per case charge listed at <http://www.coloradowrits.com/dui/PleadingsIndex.html>

**GROUND'S FOR APPEAL (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

The Plaintiff was denied due process of law by the Department's denial of a subpoena necessary to the presentation of a defense.

The Plaintiff was denied due process of law by the Hearing Officer's order quashing a subpoena necessary to the presentation of Plaintiff's defense.

The Hearing Officer violated the Plaintiff's' right to due process of law by improperly taking judicial notice without providing notice as required by Rule 201, Colorado Rules of Evidence.

The Plaintiff was revoked for a Refusal without any competent evidence to support the required probable cause pursuant to statute.

The Hearing Officer revoked the Plaintiff without making and serving a statement of findings and conclusions upon all the material issues of fact, law, or discretion presented by the record as required by § 24-4-106(14)(a), Colorado Revised Statutes, thus violating Colorado law and denying the Plaintiff due process of law.

The decision of the Hearing Officer was not supported by competent evidence in the record.

The Hearing Officer's finding of a chemical test within two hours of driving is speculative and not supported by competent evidence in the record.

The Hearing Officer failed to comply with § 42-2-126(8)(h), Colorado Revised Statutes.

The Department exceeded its Constitutional or statutory authority in issuing the revocation order.

The Department's Revocation Order is dependent upon an erroneous interpretation of law by the Hearing Officer.

The Department's Order is the result of arbitrary and or capricious actions of the Hearing Officer.

**Attach a separate sheet with an explanation of any other grounds.**